

Gibb Lecture #2: “‘Abduh’s Metaphysics in Context”
Center for Middle Eastern Studies, Harvard University, November 5, 2015
Robert Wisnovsky (Institute of Islamic Studies, McGill University)

- 1) Two texts by ‘Abduh
- 2) Ījī’s lemma, and Dawānī’s three groups
- 3) Avicenna’s two distinctions, and Rāzī’s new formula
- 4) Two old theories of the divine attributes
- 5) Three commentaries by Ḥillī
- 6) Existence: how univocal?
- 7) The triumphant sect (*al-firqah al-nājiyah*)

Muḥammad ‘Abduh (d. 1323/1905)

- 1) *Risālat al-Tawḥīd* (Essay on [Divine] Oneness)
- 2) *al-Ḥāshiyah ‘alā Sharḥ ad-Dawānī ‘alā l-‘Aqā’id al-‘Aḍudiyyah* (Supercommentary on ad-Dawānī’s Commentary on the Creed of ‘Aḍud [ad-Dīn al-Ījī])

What is knowable may be divided into three categories: the inherently possible, the inherently necessary, and the inherently impossible. The impossible is defined as that which, in and of itself, possesses non-existence. The necessary is that which, in and of itself, possesses existence. The possible is that which, in and of itself, possesses neither existence or non-existence; instead, it exists only on account of whatever makes it exist, and it does not exist only on account of the non-existence of the cause of its existence, so necessity and impossibility may attach to it on account of some other thing.

[‘Abduh, *Risālat al-Tawḥīd* (Cairo, 1906), 18,7-11]

Ījī’s lemma (*matn*)

[...the world has a Maker, eternal,] who has always been and will always be, necessary of existence in Himself, and impossible of non-existence in respect of Himself...

[Ījī, ‘*Aqā’id*: ed. S. Dunyā, *ash-Shaykh Muḥammad ‘Abduh bayna l-falāsifah wa-l-kalāmiyyīn* (Cairo, 1958), #75, 244,1]

Dawānī’s three groups

- 1) *al-jumhūr min al-mutakallimīn* (the generality of mutakallims)
God’s essence is sufficient for/is a complete cause of His existence
- 2a) *ba‘ḍ al-muḥaqqiqīn min al-mutakallimīn* (some of the verifiers among the mutakallims)
- 2b) *al-ḥukamā’/al-falāsifah* (philosophers)
God’s essence is identical to His existence

Avicenna's two distinctions

- 1) essence (*māhiyyah*) vs. existence (*wujūd*)
- 2) a being that is intrinsically necessary (*wājib al-wujūd bi-dhātihi*) vs. a being that is extrinsically necessary/
intrinsically possible (*wājib al-wujūd bi-ghayrihi/mumkin al-wujūd bi-dhātihi*)

Rāzī's new formula

- Avicenna:
- a) existence is entailed (*lāzim*) by essence
 - b) existence is related (*muḍāf*) to essence
 - c) existence is a concomitant (*lāḥiq*) of essence
 - d) existence attaches accidentally (*ʿ-r-ḍ*) to essence
- Bahmanyār:
- e) existence is extrinsic (*khārij*) to essence
- Rāzī:
- f) existence is superadded (*zāʿid*) to essence

Two old theories of the divine attributes

Classical Muʿtazilism (Abū l-Hudhayl): The divine attributes (*ṣifāt*) are identical to the divine self (*dhāt*). ("God is knowing through a knowledge that is identical to Him")

Classical Kullābism (Ibn Kullāb): The divine attributes (*ṣifāt*) are neither identical to nor other than the divine self (*dhāt*). ("God is knowing through a knowledge that He possesses and which subsists through [or "with"] Him")

Jamāl ad-Dīn "al-ʿAllāmah" al-Ḥillī

- 1) *Kashf al-Khifāʾ min Kitāb al-Shifāʾ* (Casting off the Covering from [Avicenna's] Healing)
- 2) *al-Muḥākamāt bayna Shurrāḥ al-Ishārāt* (Arbitrations between the Commentators on [Avicenna's] Pointers)
- 3) *Kashf al-Murād fī Sharḥ Tajrīd al-Iʿtiqād* (Uncovering the Desired Meaning in Commenting on [Tūsī's] Outline of Belief)

Existence: how univocal?

- Rāzī: commonality of existence (*mushārahakat al-wujūd*)
- equivocity (*al-ishtirāk al-lafẓī*)
 - univocity (*al-ishtirāk al-maʿnawī*)
 - a) "hard" univocity (*al-ishtirāk al-maʿnawī bi-t-tawāṭuʾ*)
 - b) "soft" univocity (*al-ishtirāk al-maʿnawī bi-t-tashkīk*)

The triumphant sect (*al-firqah al-nājiyah*)

"My community will split up (*sataftariqu ummatī*) into 73 sects; all except one will be in the Fire."